

X.509 PKI

Trust no-one

@apoikos

Athens CryptoParty #2

CRYPTO
PARTY

TLS

- ▶ Provides *endpoint authentication* (X.509 + Key exchange)
- ▶ Guarantees *data integrity* (MAC)
- ▶ Protects *communication confidentiality* (Symmetric encryption)

Endpoint authentication

- ▶ During the TLS handshake, the server provides a *certificate* to the client (and optionally requests a certificate *from* the client).
- ▶ These certificates act as a proof of the {server,client}'s identity.
- ▶ X.509 is a standard that specifies:
 - ▶ *What* these certificates contain
 - ▶ *How* this information is encoded
 - ▶ *How* identity validation is performed (*trust model*)

Identity validation

Trust?

- O hai!
- O hai! Name is google.com!!!11
- ORLY?

Identity validation

Trust?

- O hai!
- O hai! Name is google.com!!!11
- ORLY?

How do we *trust* what the server claims?

Identity validation

Trust?

- O hai!
- O hai! Name is google.com!!!11
- ORLY?

How do we *trust* what the server claims?

- ▶ By having a *trusted third party* attest the identity or
- ▶ By utilizing a *web of trust*

X.509 uses the former: a hierarchy of a priori trusted *Certification Authorities*

X.509 certificates

- ▶ Version (e.g. 3)
- ▶ Serial Number (unique per issuer)
- ▶ Algorithm (e.g. SHA-1 with RSA encryption)
- ▶ Issuer
- ▶ Validity
 - ▶ Not before
 - ▶ Not after
- ▶ Subject
- ▶ Subject public key
- ▶ Issuer signature
- ▶ Extensions

Certificate verification

- ▶ The X.509 PKI model builds a *chain* of certificates.
- ▶ We "only" need to have a copy of the top certificate issuer's certificate (*root CA*).
- ▶ Where do we get these?



Examining certificates

```
$ openssl s_client -connect google.com:443 -verify 3  
$ openssl x509 -noout -text < /etc/ssl/certs/...
```

Revocation

- ▶ Certificates *expire*
- ▶ What happens if a certificate's key is compromised before it expires?
 - ▶ Need a way to check if a certificate is still "good"
- ▶ CRLs (Certificate Revocation Lists)
 - ▶ Published either directly, or available over OCSP
 - ▶ CRLs and OCSP responses are *signed*
 - ▶ A client needs to parse the CRL *or* query over OCSP

Problems/limitations

- ▶ Centralized trust model, mostly serving a specific business model.
- ▶ The trust pool is *flat*. Any trusted CA could have signed an accepted certificate.
- ▶ A compromised/malicious sub-CA for any trusted CA can be used for SSL Man-In-The-Middle attacks.
- ▶ The significance of the trusted CA pool is hidden from users (they "don't need to know")
- ▶ Alternative: Web-of-trust (PGP/GPG/OpenPGP)